IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI SPRINGFIELD DIVISION

PAUL THAYIL,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ §	
v.	§ §	Case No. 10-3200-CV-S-RED
SIMON COWELL, ET AL.,	§ §	
Defendants.	§ §	

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT EMI ENTERTAINMENT WORLD INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE COMPLAINT PURSUANT TOFED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(2), (3), (5) AND (6), WITH SUGGESTIONS IN SUPPORT

NOW COMES Paul Thayil, Plaintiff, to file this Plaintiff's Response to Defendant EMI Entertainment World Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss the Complaint Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2), (3), (5) and (6), With Suggestions in Support and shows the Court the following, to wit:

- 1. Plaintiff's ideas and concepts originated in Australia. Under the laws of Australia, the registration process of ideas and concepts is the equivalent to the copyright and patent process in the United States. As an additional measure, original copyright documents to the United States Consulate General in Sydney, Australia were posted. This was also needed to obtain permission from the government in Australia to take Plaintiff's marketing plan to the United States so that he could contract with as many musicians and dancers as possible to MusicFlow productions, the company Plaintiff was working under at that time and at present time.
- 2. Defendant purports that Plaintiff has not alleged facts sufficient to support his claims; however, Plaintiff's Original Complaint is not considered the trial. Defendant is wanting all of the evidence that would be presented at trial; however, many of those factual allegations will be revealed through the discovery process.

3. Plaintiff is asking for much more than punitive damages. Defendant implemented fraud, misappropriation, trickery, deception and theft in bad faith as well as deprived Plaintiff of equal protection of the laws.

WHEREFORE, for the objections set forth herein to the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, the Plaintiff hereby requests that Defendant EMI Entertainment World Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss the Complaint Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2), (3), (5) and (6), With Suggestions in Support be DENIED.

Respectively submitted,

Paul Thayil, Pro Se

2020 E. Kerr St., Apt. C-109

Springfield, Missouri 65803

(417) 619-1792

VERIFICATION

IT IS HEREBY Verified that under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing Plaintiff's Response to Defendant EMI Entertainment World Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss the Complaint Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2), (3), (5) and (6), With Suggestions in Support is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Witness my hand and seal this 16 day of July, 2010.

Paul Thayis.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

IT IS HEREBY Certified that the foregoing Plaintiff's Response to Defendant EMI Entertainment World Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss the Complaint Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2), (3), (5) and (6), With Suggestions in Support has been mailed by First Class United States Mail to the following on the 16 day of July, 2010.

Paul Thayil

Richard F. Lombardo Erin E. Clark Shaffer Lombardo Shurin 911 Main Street, Suite 2000 Kansas City, Missouri 64105

Ilene S. Farkas M. Mona Simonian Pryor Cashman LLP 7 Times Square New York, New York 10036